## A Practical and Efficient Procedure for Preparation of Diacetals from 2,2-Bis(hydroxymethyl)propane-1,3-diol with Aldehydes and Ketones Catalysed by Anhydrous Ferrous Sulfate<sup>†</sup>

Tong-Shou Jin,\* Yan-Ran Ma, Tong-Shuang Li and Jian-Xin Wang

Department of Chemistry, Hebei University, Baoding 071002, P.R. China

Synthesis of diacetals in excellent yields from aldehydes and ketones with 2,2-bis(hydroxymethyl)propane-1,3-diol is carried out in refluxing benzene or toluene with anhydrous ferrous sulfate as catalyst.

The synthesis of diacetals of 2,2-bis(hydroxymethyl)propane-1,3-diol with aldehydes and ketones is very useful in preparative organic chemistry and as potential protecting groups for aldehydes and ketones as well as important derivatives of carbonyl compounds, since most of them are crystalline substances and have sharp melting points.<sup>1</sup> They are also applied as plasticizers and vulcanizers of various polymeric materials, as raw materials for production of valuable resins and lacquers, as physiologically active substances,<sup>2</sup> and as defoamers for detergent solutions containing anionic surfactants.<sup>3</sup> Usually, the synthesis of 2,2-bis(hydroxymethyl)propane-1,3-diol diacetals under acidic conditions is described.<sup>4</sup> Strong protic acids such as sulfuric acid,<sup>3,5,6</sup> hydrochloric acid,<sup>7</sup> and *p*-toluenesulfonic acid,<sup>7–9</sup> and Lewis acids such as zinc chloride<sup>10</sup> and copper sulfate,<sup>11</sup> have been employed as catalysts. Some of these methods are not entirely satisfactory, owing to such drawbacks as low yields, long reaction time, problems of corrosivity, tedious workup, effluent pollution and non-recoverable catalysts. Consequently, there is a need to develop alternative methods for the synthesis of 2,2-bis(hydroxymethyl)propane-1,3-diol under mild and environmentally friendly conditions. Montmorillonite clays,<sup>1</sup> cation exchanger KU-2,<sup>2</sup> 12-tungstophosphoric acid<sup>12</sup> and expansive graphite,<sup>13</sup> have been employed as catalysts for this purpose to obtain improved results. More recently, microwave irradiation has been applied to accelerate this condensation reaction.<sup>14</sup>

Anhydrous ferrous sulfate has been used as a catalyst for organic reactions.<sup>15–17</sup> Previously we have developed an efficient and convenient method for the preparation and cleavage of 1,1-diacetates using a catalytic quantity of anhydrous ferrous sulfate.<sup>15,16</sup> Herein we report a mild and efficient procedure for the synthesis of diacetals by condensation of aldehydes and ketones with 2,2-bis(hydroxymethyl) propane-1,3-diol catalysed by anhydrous ferrous sulfate in refluxing benzene or toluene.



Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, anhydrous  $FeSO_4$ , benzene or toluene, reflux 0.8–10 h, 0–99%.

J. Chem. Research (S), 1999, 268–269†

When several aldehydes or ketones 1 in the presence of anhydrous ferrous sulfate were heated with 2,2-bis(hydroxymethyl)propane-1,3-diol 2 in refluxing benzene or toluene, the corresponding diacetals 3 are obtained in good to excellent yield (Scheme 1) except for benzophenone (1s, no reaction), and results are summarised in Table 1.

The reaction rate is markedly dependent on temperature. We found that the reaction proceeded much slower in refluxing benzene than in refluxing toluene. For example, complete conversion of 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (1d) to the corresponding diacetal (3d) required 0.8 h in refluxing toluene cf. 1.5 h in refluxing benzene in the presence of anhydrous ferrous sulfate as catalyst. Ketones show lower reactivity than aldehydes for this reaction, for example, dibenzalpentaerythritol (3b) was obtained in 98% yield in refluxing toluene for 0.8 h whereas the conversion rate of acetophenone (1q) was 87% in refluxing toluene over 8 h in the presence of anhydrous ferrous sulfate. Benzophenone (1s) failed to give the corresponding diacetal and the starting materials were quantitatively recovered. It is worth noting that when 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (1k) and 4-(dimethylamino)benzaldehyde (1m) were treated with 2,2-bis(hydroxymethyl)propane-1,3-diol in the presence of anhydrous ferrous sulfate, the reactions required longer times even when the reaction mixtures were refluxed in toluene. The explanation for this result may be due to the strong electron donating hydroxy and dimethylamino groups in 1k and 1m which will reduce the reactivity. A degree of tautomerisation may occur in 1k and 1m with formation of quinoid structure and thus decreased reactivity of the corresponding aldehyde groups. An intramolecular hydrogen bond in 1j and 11 would prevent the formation of a quinoid structure.

The catalysts are easily regenerated by washing with dichloromethane and then drying at  $180 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  under reduced pressure for 0.5 h. The catalyst could be reused three times for the synthesis of pentaerythritol diacetal **3b** without significant loss of activity.

In conclusion, we have discovered an efficient and convenient method for the preparation of 2,2-bis(hydroxymethyl)propane-1,3-diol diacetals catalysed by anhydrous ferrous sulfate, characterised by operational simplicity, high yields, use of inexpensive materials, as well as being non-corrosive, minimally environmentally pollutive and employing a reusable catalyst. This procedure appears to be efficient for aldehydes, aliphatic ketones and monoaryl ketones but not for diaryl ketones.

## Experimental

Anhydrous ferrous sulfate catalyst was prepared according to the literature.<sup>15,16</sup> Melting points are uncorrected. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were determined on a Varian VXR-300S spectrometer using CDCl<sub>3</sub> as solvent and tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal reference. IR spectra were

<sup>\*</sup> To receive any correspondence (*e-mail*: orgsyn@mailserver.hbu. edu.cn).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> This is a **Short Paper** as defined in the Instructions for Authors, Section 5.0 [see *J. Chem. Research* (*S*), 1999, Issue 1]; there is therefore no corresponding material in *J. Chem. Research* (*M*).

Entry	Aldehydes or ketones	Solvent $(t/h)$	Isolated yield (%)	Mp/°C	
				Found	Reported
1	<i>n</i> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>13</sub> CHO <b>1a</b>	Benzene (1.2)	93	62–63	63 <sup>5</sup>
		Toluene (0.8)	95		
2	PhCHO 1b	Benzene (1.5)	97	155–156	155–156 <sup>14</sup>
		Toluene (0.8)	98		
3	4-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CHO <b>1c</b>	Benzene (1.5)	98	211–212	211–213 <sup>1</sup>
		Toluene (1.0)	98		_
4	4-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CHO <b>1d</b>	Benzene (1.5)	98	180–182	177 <sup>5</sup>
		Toluene (0.8)	99		_
5	3,4-(OCH <sub>2</sub> O)C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub> CHO <b>1e</b>	Benzene (2.5)	94	190–192	188 <sup>5</sup>
		Toluene (1.5)	95		
6	3-CIC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CHO <b>1f</b>	Benzene (2.0)	96	121–122	121–122 <sup>1</sup>
		Toluene (1.5)	98		
7	4-CIC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CHO <b>1g</b>	Benzene (3.0)	93	196–197	197–198 <sup>14</sup>
		Toluene (2.0)	95		
8	2-O <sub>2</sub> NC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CHO <b>1h</b>	Benzene (3.0)	92	162–164	163–164 <sup>14</sup>
		Toluene (2.0)	94		_
9	3-02NC6H4CHO <b>1i</b>	Benzene (2.5)	90	185–186	185 <sup>5</sup>
		Toluene (1.5)	92		
10	2-HOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CHO <b>1j</b>	Benzene (3.5)	90	160–161	160–161 <sup>1</sup>
		Toluene (2.5)	92		
11	4-HOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CHO <b>1k</b>	Toluene (7.0)	74	108–110	109–110 <sup>14</sup>
12	3-MeO-4-HOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub> CHO <b>1I</b>	Benzene (3.0)	95	170–172	170–171 <sup>1</sup>
		Toluene (2.0)	95		
13	4-Me <sub>2</sub> NC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CHO <b>1m</b>	Toluene (8.0)	84	223–224	223 <sup>1</sup>
14	PhCH=CHCHO 1n	Benzene (3.0)	94	190–192	193⁵
		Toluene (2.0)	95		
15	2-Furaldehyde <b>1o</b>	Benzene (3.0)	92	157–159	158–159 <sup>14</sup>
16	Cyclohexanone 1p	Toluene (6.0)	90	111–113	112–113 <sup>6</sup>
17	PhCOMe <b>1q</b>	Toluene (8.0)	87	145–146	147–148 <sup>1</sup>
18	(PhCH <sub>2</sub> )CO <b>1r</b>	Toluene (10)	89	165–167	166–167 <sup>1</sup>
19	Ph <sub>2</sub> CO <b>1s</b>	Toluene (8)	0		

Table 1 Synthesis of diacetals catalysed by anhydrous ferrous sulfate

obtained on a Perkin-Elmer 983G spectrometer. The products were also characterised by comparison of their melting points with literature values.

General Procedure for the Preparation of Diacetals.—A mixture of p-tolualdehyde **1c** (2.00 mmol), 2,2-bis(hydroxymethyl)propane-1,3-diol **2** (1.25 mmol) and anhydrous ferrous sulfate (100 mg) in benzene (10 ml) was stirred at refluxing temperature for 1.5 h (Table 1) using a Dean–Stark apparatus for water removal. The reaction was monitored by TLC. After cooling, the catalyst was removed by filtration and washed with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 ml × 2). The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was chromatographied on silica gel (light petroleum–dichloromethane as eluent) to give diacetal **3c** in 98% yield; mp 212–213 °C. For **3c**:  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$  2910, 2862, 1600, 1460, 1390, 1050, 805;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.346

For **3c**:  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$  2910, 2862, 1600, 1460, 1390, 1050, 805;  $\delta_{H}$  2.346 (6H, s, Ar-CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.638 (2H d, *J* 11.7 Hz), 3.813 (2H, dd, *J* 11.7, 2.4 Hz), 3.830 (2H, d, *J* 11.7 Hz), 4.853 (2H, d, *J* 11.7 Hz), 5.424 (2H, s, *CHO*<sub>2</sub>), 7.181 (4H, d, *J* 8.0 Hz, 3',5'-Ar-H), 7.370 (4H, d, *J* 8.0 Hz, 2',6'-Ar-H). For **3f**:  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$  2986, 2856, 1601, 1578, 1479, 1381, 1335, 1249, 1205, 1160, 1120, 1075, 1035, 966, 896, 877, 796, 750, 703;  $\delta_{H}$  3.656 (2H, d, *J* 11.7 Hz), 3.680 (4H, d, *J* 11.7 Hz), 4.829 (2H, d, *J* 11.7 Hz), 5.432 (2H, s, *CHO*<sub>2</sub>), 7.330–7.381 (6H, m, Ar-H), 7.503 (2H, s, 2-'Ar-H). For **3j**:  $\delta_{H}$  3.703 (2H, d, *J* 11.7 Hz), 3.903 (2H, dd, *J* 11.7, 2.4 Hz), 3.918 (2H, d, *J* 11.7 Hz), 4.847 (2H, d, *J* 11.7 Hz), 5.655 (2H, s, *CHO*<sub>2</sub>), 6.872–7.284 (8H, A'ABB', Ar-H), 7.565 (2H, s, Ar-OH). For **3g**:  $\delta_{H}$  1.502 [6H, s, *C*(*CH*<sub>3</sub>)O<sub>2</sub>], 3.145 (2H, dd, *J* 11.7, 1.8 Hz), 7.313–7.425 (8H, m, Ar-H). For **3r**:  $\delta_{H}$  2.878 (8H, s, Ar-CH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.589 (8H, s, *CHO*), 7.142–7.332 (20H, m, Ar-H).

The project was supported by NSFC (29572039 and 29872011), Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (297065) and Science and Technology Commission of Hebei Province.

Received, 4th January 1999; Accepted, 19th January 1999 Paper E/9/000251

## References

- 1 Z.-H. Zhang, T.-S. Li, T.-S. Jin and J.-T. Li, J. Chem. Res. (S), 1998, 640.
- 2 T. N. Shakhtakhtinskii and L. V. Andreev, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. Azerb. SSR, 1962, 18, 17 (Chem. Abstr., 1963, 59, 3762g).
- 3 J. Perner, K. Stork, F. Merger and K. Oppenlaender, Ger. Offen, 1978, 2707875 (Chem, Abstr., 1978, **89**, 181503x).
- 4 S. F. Marrian, Chem. Rev., 1948, 43, 149.
  5 J. Read, J. Chem. Soc., 1912, 101, 2090 (Chem. Abstr., 1913, 7,
- 968).
  N. P. Klyushnik, Ukr. Khim. Zh., 1964, 30, 965 (Chem. Abstr.)
- 6 N. P. Klyushnik, Ukr. Khim. Zh., 1964, **30**, 965 (Chem. Abstr., 1965, **62**, 2717a).
- 7 D. Callejas, M. Echemique and H. Seeboth, *Rev. Cubana Quim.*, 1988, **4**, 73 (*Chem. Abstr.*, 1989, **111**, 77927a).
- 8 E. Bograchov, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1950, 72, 2268.
- 9 V. G. Mkhitaryan, J. Gen. Chem., 1939, 9, 1923 (Chem. Abstr., 1940, 34, 4381).
- 10 H. J. Backer and H. B. Schurink, *Rec. Trav. Chim.*, 1931, 50, 1066 (*Chem. Abstr.*, 1932, 26, 964).
- 11 L. Orthner, Ber., 1928, 61B, 116.
- 12 X. Chen, Y.-T. Xu and C.-X. Jin, *Hecheng Huaxue*, 1997, 5, 212.
- 13 T.-S. Jin, T.-S. Li, Z.-H. Zhang and Y.-J. Yuan, Synth. Commun., 1999, **29**, in press.
- 14 C.-D. Wang, X.-Z. Shi and R.-J. Xie, Synth. Commun., 1997, 27, 2517.
- 15 T.-S. Jin, Y.-R. Ma, Z.-H. Zhang and T.-S. Li, Org. Prep. Proced. Int., 1998, 30, 463.
- 16 T.-S. Jin, G.-Y. Du and T.-S. Li, *Indian J. Chem., Sect. B*, 37, 939.
- 17 T.-S. Li, Z.-H. Zhang, T.-S. Jin and Y.-L. Li, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 1998, 9, in press.